IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re United States Patent Application of:) Docket No.:	4453-181-RCE
Applicant:	BRYAN, Philip N.) Conf. No.:	2283
Application No.:	10/567,073) Art Unit:	1656
Date Filed:	February 3, 2006) Examiner:	William W. Moore
Title:	ENGINEERED PROTEASES FOR AFFINITY PURIFICATION AND PROCESSING OF FUSION PROTEINS) Customer No.:))))))	23448

CERTIFICATE OF EFS FILING

I hereby certify that this document is being filed via EFS in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 6, 2010. /Kelly k. revnolds/

COMMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE AND REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.312 IN U.S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/567.073

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

As a Comment on the Statement of Reasons for Allowance, applicant notes that on page 2 of the Examiner's Amendment provided with the June 23, 2010 Notice of Allowability, the examiner provided claim amendments approved by the undersigned attorney, instructing that the claims amendments be entered as follows: "Amend claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, and 15-17, thus..."

However, in the claim set that follows, claims 4 and 13 are also amended. Such amendments of claims 4 and 13 were also approved by the undersigned attorney in the June 21, 2010 telephonic

4453-181-RCE

interview. Accordingly, the instruction regarding claim amendments to be entered should read "Amend claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9-11, 13 and 15-17, thus..." and the status identifier following "4" on

page 2 of the Examiner's amendment should read "Currently Amended," rather than "Previously

Presented." The status identifier following "13" on page 4 properly indicates "Currently

amended."

Incident to a post-allowance review of the application, subsequent to applicant's receipt of the

June 23, 2010 Notice of Allowance, this amendment is being submitted under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.312, to provide better quality drawings for the drawings previously provided in this

application. No drawing objection was raised during prosecution of the application; however,

better quality versions of the pending drawings are available and are herein submitted.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests that the enclosed Replacement Drawing Sheets 1 to

14 be substituted for the Drawing Sheets 1 to 14 as originally filed. In compliance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.121(d), each enclosed sheet of drawings is labeled in the margin as a

"REPLACEMENT SHEET."

Entry of this amendment submitted under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.312 is requested, inasmuch

as it merely provides better quality versions of the original Drawing Sheets and does incorporate

any new matter within the meaning of 35 USC 132.

Respectfully submitted.

/kelly k. reynolds/ Kelly K. Reynolds

Reg. No. 51,154 Attorney for Applicant

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/ TECHNOLOGY LAW Phone: (919) 419-9350 Fax: (919) 419-9354 Attornev File No.: 4453-181-RCE

Enclosures

Replacement Drawing Sheets 1 to 14 [14 pgs.]

The USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment of fees properly payable for this document to Deposit Account No. 08-3284

2